

1 DAVID B. GOLUBCHIK (State Bar No. 185520)  
2 TODD M. ARNOLD (State Bar No. 221868)  
3 JONATHAN D. GOTTLIEB (State Bar No. 339650)  
4 LEVENE, NEALE, BENDER, YOO & GOLUBCHIK L.L.P.  
5 2818 La Cienega Avenue  
6 Los Angeles, California 90034  
7 Telephone: (310) 229-1234  
8 Facsimile: (310) 229-1244  
9 Email: [DBG@LNBYG.COM](mailto:DBG@LNBYG.COM); [TMA@LNBYG.COM](mailto:TMA@LNBYG.COM); [JDG@LNBYG.COM](mailto:JDG@LNBYG.COM)

10 Attorneys for Crestlloyd, LLC, the debtor and debtor-in-possession

8 **UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT**  
9 **CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

10 In re

Chapter No.: 11

11 CRESTLLOYD, LLC,

Case No.: 2:21-bk-18205-DS

12 Debtor and Debtor-in-Possession

13 **EVIDENTIARY OBJECTIONS TO**  
14 **DECLARATION OF DECLARATION OF**  
15 **JULIAN BUCKNER IN SUPPORT OF**  
16 **REQUEST OF CHAPTER 11**  
17 **ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES OF**  
18 **SHOWROOM INTERIORS, LCC [DKT. 357]**

19  
20  
21 Date: July 21, 2022  
22 Time: 11:30 a.m.  
23 Place: 255 E. Temple Street  
24 Los Angeles, CA 90012  
25 **VIA ZOOMGOV ONLY**

26  
27  
28 Crestlloyd, LLC (the “Debtor”), hereby files its evidentiary objections to portions of the  
“*Declaration of Julian Buckner*” (the “Buckner Declaration”) filed in support of the *Request*  
For Payment Of Chapter 11 Administrative Expenses Of Showroom Interiors, LLC DBA Vesta”  
[Dkt 357] (the “Request”) as follows:

29 As an initial matter, many of the statements in the Buckner Declaration are not based on  
30 personal knowledge and are instead based on pure speculation.

1	2	Paragraph # (Page: Line)	3	Statement	4	Objection	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28
1	2	1	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28		
		¶ 8 (6:1-2)		“On January 1, 2022, well after the petition date, the Property experienced a pool leakage which caused damage to Vesta’s staging furniture onsite at the time.”		FRE 602 Lack of Personal Knowledge FRE 602 Lack of Foundation FRE 602 Calls for Speculation																								
		2		“The date of the pool leakage and the resulting damage was confirmed by Miles Staglik of Sierra Constellation Partners, LLC as reflected in Exhibit “D” attached hereto.”		FRE 602 Lack of Personal Knowledge FRE 602 Lack of Foundation FRE 602 Calls for Speculation  FRE 802 Hearsay Not Subject to Any Exception. If the Declarant is relying on what was told to him by another individual or source to support the factual allegations in the paragraph, his testimony related thereto would be inadmissible hearsay. <i>See Caddie Const. Co., Inc.</i> , 125 B.R. 674, 678 (Bankr. M.D. Fla. 1991).																								
		3		Exhibit D		FRE 802 Hearsay Not Subject to Any Exception. If the Declarant is relying on what was told to him by another individual or source to support the factual allegations in the paragraph, his testimony related thereto would be inadmissible hearsay. <i>See Caddie Const. Co., Inc.</i> , 125 B.R. 674, 678 (Bankr. M.D. Fla. 1991).																								
		4		¶ 8 (6: 4-6)		“Most of the damaged property was damaged by the pool leak, although																								

1		some of the damaged property was damaged because it was exposed to the outdoor elements and not properly protected by the Debtor.”	FRE 602 Lack of Foundation  FRE 602 Calls for Speculation	<input type="checkbox"/> Overruled
2				
3				
4	¶ 9 (7-8)	“Many of the pieces were custom and damaged beyond repair”	FRE 602 Lack of Personal Knowledge  FRE 602 Lack of Foundation  FRE 602 Calls for Speculation	<input type="checkbox"/> Sustained  <input type="checkbox"/> Overruled
5				
6	¶ 10 (6:10-11)	“In response, Miles advised me that he needed to “file an insurance claim.”	FRE 401 Not Relevant. The Statement is not helpful in determining a fact in issue, is irrelevant, and a waste of time to consider in connection with the pending motion.  FRE 403 Unfair Prejudice	<input type="checkbox"/> Sustained  <input type="checkbox"/> Overruled
7	Exhibit F		FRE 401 Not Relevant. The Statement is not helpful in determining a fact in issue, is irrelevant, and a waste of time to consider in connection with the pending motion.  FRE 403 Unfair Prejudice	<input type="checkbox"/> Sustained  <input type="checkbox"/> Overruled
8	¶ 11	“On or about February 17, 2022, Miles asked me to provide him with Vesta’s damages including a list of items damages with photos and corresponding dollar amounts to file an insurance claim.”	FRE 401 Not Relevant. The Statement is not helpful in determining a fact in issue, is irrelevant, and a waste of time to consider in connection with the pending motion.  FRE 403 Unfair Prejudice  FRE 802 Hearsay Not Subject to Any Exception. If the Declarant is relying on what was told to him by another individual or	<input type="checkbox"/> Sustained  <input type="checkbox"/> Overruled
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				

1			source to support the factual allegations in the paragraph, his testimony related thereto would be inadmissible hearsay. <i>See Caddie Const. Co., Inc.</i> , 125 B.R. 674, 678 (Bankr. M.D. Fla. 1991).	
6	9	Exhibit G	<p>FRE 401 Not Relevant. The Statement is not helpful in determining a fact in issue, is irrelevant, and a waste of time to consider in connection with the pending motion.</p> <p>FRE 403 Unfair Prejudice</p> <p>FRE 802 Hearsay Not Subject to Any Exception. If the Declarant is relying on what was told to him by another individual or source to support the factual allegations in the paragraph, his testimony related thereto would be inadmissible hearsay. <i>See Caddie Const. Co., Inc.</i>, 125 B.R. 674, 678 (Bankr. M.D. Fla. 1991).</p>	<input type="checkbox"/> Sustained <input type="checkbox"/> Overruled
20	10	¶ 12 (6:15-17)	<p>“On or about March 10, 2022, I inquired with Miles regarding the status of the insurance claim and asked Miles what to do with the segregated inventory.”</p>	<input type="checkbox"/> Sustained <input type="checkbox"/> Overruled
28				

1			factual allegations in the paragraph, his testimony related thereto would be inadmissible hearsay. <i>See Caddie Const. Co., Inc.</i> , 125 B.R. 674, 678 (Bankr. M.D. Fla. 1991).	
11	Exhibit H		<p>FRE 401 Not Relevant. The Statement is not helpful in determining a fact in issue, is irrelevant, and a waste of time to consider in connection with the pending motion.</p> <p>FRE 602 Lack of Personal Knowledge</p> <p>FRE 602 Lack of Foundation</p> <p>FRE 602 Calls for Speculation</p> <p>FRE 802 Hearsay Not Subject to Any Exception. If the Declarant is relying on what was told to him by another individual or source to support the factual allegations in the paragraph, his testimony related thereto would be inadmissible hearsay. <i>See Caddie Const. Co., Inc.</i>, 125 B.R. 674, 678 (Bankr. M.D. Fla. 1991).</p>	<input type="checkbox"/> Sustained <input type="checkbox"/> Overruled
12	¶ 12 (6: 17-20)	"We have been storing the damaged inventory, taking up floor place at Vesta's warehouse. This space costs us \$1.65 per square foot per month. I estimate about 6,000 square feet being used to store damaged inventory at a cost of \$9,900 per month for about six months, resulting in an additional \$59,400 in expense to Vesta."	FRE 401 Not Relevant. The Statement is not helpful in determining a fact in issue, is irrelevant, and a waste of time to consider in connection with the pending motion.	

1	13	¶ 13 (6:21-24)	<p>“Only in or about May of 2022, about four months after the Debtor originally told Vesta that it was submitting the claim to insurance did the Debtor finally tell Vesta that the Debtor’s deductible was \$250,000 and, therefore, the Debtor was not going to be submitting the claim to insurance.”</p>	<p>FRE 401 Not Relevant. The Statement is not helpful in determining a fact in issue, is irrelevant, and a waste of time to consider in connection with the pending motion.</p> <p>FRE 802 Hearsay Not Subject to Any Exception. If the Declarant is relying on what was told to him by another individual or source to support the factual allegations in the paragraph, his testimony related thereto would be inadmissible hearsay. <i>See Caddie Const. Co., Inc.</i>, 125 B.R. 674, 678 (Bankr. M.D. Fla. 1991).</p>	<input type="checkbox"/> Sustained <input type="checkbox"/> Overruled
14	14	¶14 (6:28-7:4)	<p>“MTS Technician Jasson Walke conducted a mold/moisture investigation on January 18, 2022, which revealed the presence of Aspergilus (fungi) and Penicillium (contaminant/ opportunistic pathogen) spores.”</p>	<p>FRE 401 Not Relevant. The Statement is not helpful in determining a fact in issue, is irrelevant, and a waste of time to consider in connection with the pending motion.</p> <p>FRE 602 Lack of Personal Knowledge</p> <p>FRE 602 Lack of Foundation</p> <p>FRE 602 Calls for Speculation</p> <p>FRE 802 Hearsay Not Subject to Any Exception. If the Declarant is relying on what was told to him by another individual or source to support the factual allegations in the paragraph, his testimony related thereto would be</p>	<input type="checkbox"/> Sustained <input type="checkbox"/> Overruled

1			inadmissible hearsay. <i>See Caddie Const. Co., Inc.</i> , 125 B.R. 674, 678 (Bankr. M.D. Fla. 1991).	
2	15	Exhibit I	<p>FRE 401 Not Relevant. The Statement is not helpful in determining a fact in issue, is irrelevant, and a waste of time to consider in connection with the pending motion.</p> <p>FRE 602 Lack of Personal Knowledge</p> <p>FRE 602 Lack of Foundation</p> <p>FRE 602 Calls for Speculation</p> <p>FRE 802 Hearsay Not Subject to Any Exception. If the Declarant is relying on what was told to him by another individual or source to support the factual allegations in the paragraph, his testimony related thereto would be inadmissible hearsay. <i>See Caddie Const. Co., Inc.</i>, 125 B.R. 674, 678 (Bankr. M.D. Fla. 1991).</p>	<input type="checkbox"/> Sustained <input type="checkbox"/> Overruled

21 Dated: June 23, 2022

22 LEVENE, NEALE, BENDER, YOO  
& GOLUBCHIK L.L.P.

23 By: /s/ Jonathan D. Gottlieb  
24 DAVID B. GOLUBCHIK  
25 TODD M. ARNOLD  
26 JONATHAN D. GOTTLIEB  
27 LEVENE, NEALE, BENDER,  
28 YOO & GOLUBCHIK L.L.P.  
Attorneys for Crestlloyd, LLC

## PROOF OF SERVICE OF DOCUMENT

I am over the age of 18 and not a party to this bankruptcy case or adversary proceeding. My business address is: 2818 La Cienega Avenue, Los Angeles, CA 90034

A true and correct copy of the foregoing document entitled **EVIDENTIARY OBJECTIONS TO DECLARATION OF DECLARATION OF JULIAN BUCKNER IN SUPPORT OF REQUEST OF CHAPTER 11 ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES OF SHOWROOM INTERIORS, LCC [DKT. 357]** will be served or was served **(a)** on the judge in chambers in the form and manner required by LBR 5005-2(d); and **(b)** in the manner stated below:

**1. TO BE SERVED BY THE COURT VIA NOTICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING (NEF):** Pursuant to controlling General Orders and LBR, the foregoing document will be served by the court via NEF and hyperlink to the document. On **June 23, 2022**, I checked the CM/ECF docket for this bankruptcy case or adversary proceeding and determined that the following persons are on the Electronic Mail Notice List to receive NEF transmission at the email addresses stated below:

- Kyra E Andrassy kandrassy@swelawfirm.com, lgarrett@swelawfirm.com;gcruz@swelawfirm.com;jchung@swelawfirm.com
- Todd M Arnold tma@lnbyg.com
- Jerrold L Bregman jbregman@bg.law, ecf@bg.law
- Marguerite Lee DeVoll mdevoll@wattieder.com, zabrams@wattieder.com
- Karol K Denniston karol.denniston@squirepb.com, travis.mcroberts@squirepb.com;sarah.conley@squirepb.com;karol-k-denniston-9025@ecf.pacerpro.com
- Oscar Estrada oestrada@ttc.lacounty.gov
- Danielle R Gabai dgabai@danninggill.com, dgabai@ecf.courtdrive.com
- Thomas M Geher tmg@jmbm.com, bt@jmbm.com;fc3@jmbm.com;tmg@ecf.inforuptcy.com
- David B Golubchik dbg@lnbyg.com, stephanie@lnbyg.com
- James Andrew Hinds jhinds@hindslawgroup.com;mduran@hindslawgroup.com, mduran@hindslawgroup.com
- Robert B Kaplan rbk@jmbm.com
- Jane G Kearl jkearl@wattieder.com
- Jennifer Larkin Kneeland jkneeland@wattieder.com, zabrams@wattieder.com
- Michael S Kogan mkogan@koganlawfirm.com
- Noreen A Madoyan Noreen.Madoyan@usdoj.gov
- John A Moe john.moe@dentons.com, glenda.spratt@dentons.com;derry.kalve@dentons.com
- Samuel A Newman sam.newman@sidley.com, samuel-newman-2492@ecf.pacerpro.com;lafilingnotice@sidley.com
- Ryan D O'Dea rodea@shulmanbastian.com, lgauthier@shulmanbastian.com
- Sharon Oh-Kubisch sokubisch@swelawfirm.com, gcruz@swelawfirm.com;1garrett@swelawfirm.com;jchung@swelawfirm.com
- Hamid R Rafatjoo hrafatjoo@raineslaw.com, bclark@raineslaw.com
- Ronald N Richards ron@ronaldrichards.com, 7206828420@filings.docketbird.com
- Victor A Sahn vsahn@sulmeyerlaw.com, pdillamar@sulmeyerlaw.com;pdillamar@ecf.inforuptcy.com;vsahn@ecf.inforuptcy.com;cblair@sulmeyerlaw.com;cblair@ecf.inforuptcy.com
- William Schumacher wschumac@milbank.com, autodocketecf@milbank.com
- David Seror dseror@bg.law, ecf@bg.law
- Zev Shechtman zshechtman@DanningGill.com, danninggill@gmail.com;zshechtman@ecf.inforuptcy.com
- Mark Shinderman mshinderman@milbank.com, dmuhrez@milbank.com;dbatie@milbank.com
- Lindsey L Smith lls@lnbyg.com, lls@ecf.inforuptcy.com
- United States Trustee (LA) ustpregion16.la.ecf@usdoj.gov
- Genevieve G Weiner gweiner@sidley.com, laefilingnotice@sidley.com;genevieve-weiner-0813@ecf.pacerpro.com

1        •    Jessica Wellington    jwellington@bg.law, ecf@bg.law

2        **2. SERVED BY UNITED STATES MAIL:** On **June 23, 2022**, I served the following persons and/or  
3        entities at the last known addresses in this bankruptcy case or adversary proceeding by placing a true  
4        and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope in the United States mail, first class, postage prepaid, and  
5        addressed as follows. Listing the judge here constitutes a declaration that mailing to the judge will be  
6        completed no later than 24 hours after the document is filed.

7        Request for Special Notice

8        Amy P. Lally, Esq.  
9        Sidley Austin LLP  
10        1999 Avenue of the Stars, 17th Floor  
11        Los Angeles, CA 90067

12         Service information continued on attached page

13        **3. SERVED BY PERSONAL DELIVERY, OVERNIGHT MAIL, FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION OR**  
14        **EMAIL** (state method for each person or entity served): Pursuant to F.R.Civ.P. 5 and/or controlling LBR,  
15        on **June 23, 2022**, I served the following persons and/or entities by personal delivery, overnight mail  
16        service, or (for those who consented in writing to such service method), by facsimile transmission and/or  
17        email as follows. Listing the judge here constitutes a declaration that personal delivery on, or overnight  
18        mail to, the judge will be completed no later than 24 hours after the document is filed.

19        I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is  
20        true and correct.

21        

14        June 23, 2022	15        Damon Woo	16 <i>/s/ Damon Woo</i>
Date	Type Name	Signature